

Corruption Risk Assessment - Comprehensive Framework Chart

Name of the Institution: Office of the Chief Secretary, North Central Province

Assessment Period: 2026.01.01 to 2026.12.31

Risk Identification	Likelihood	Impact Severity	Existing Safeguards	New Safeguards	Sensitivity & Reporting	Actions & Decisions
1. Ambiguity and lack of a systematic approach in identifying corruption risks within institutional divisions.	Very High	High Impact	Ineffective	The Internal Affairs Unit conducts discussions and inspections across all divisions and handles fuel management, bank deposits, procedural matters, and the Right to Information.	Reviewing the methodology for listing at the divisional level.	Immediate preparation of departmental lists for High Risk.
2. Absence of specific scale levels and a scoring system for risk assessment.	Medium	Medium Impact	Partially Effective	Properly utilizing measures for the likelihood of occurrence and the magnitude of impact. Installing a complaint box. Conducting training programs and workshops.	Checking the achievement and leadership of committee decisions.	Standardization of scale levels for Medium Risk.
3. Failure to properly evaluate the effectiveness of existing administrative and security safeguards.	Medium	Low Impact	Ineffective	Auditing existing directives by classifying them as Effective or Ineffective.	Checking the status of internal security efforts.	Standardization of scale levels for Medium Risk.

4. Impracticality and high costs associated with newly introduced safety safeguards.	Low	Medium Impact	Effective	Implementing practical, clear, and cost-effective new measures. Accepting complaints via the website and QR codes. Digital supplier registration system, daily correspondence management system, and stock inventory management data system.	Minimizing instances of external solicitation and bribery among employees.	Formulation of practical rules for Low Risk.
5. Failure to maintain formal reporting for corruption allegations and investigation reports.	Medium	High Impact	Partially Effective	Formalizing investigation methodologies for assessing bribery or corruption allegations.	Confirming report progress and internal business decisions.	Continuous reporting for High Risk.