SYSTEMATIC QUALITY FRAMEWORK

8D Problem Solving Methodology

Briya Kodees

SYSTEMATIC QUALITY FRAMEWORK

8D Problem Solving Methodology

Eight disciplined steps to identify, correct, and permanently eliminate critical operational and product failures.

Quality Management

Root Cause Analysis

Ford / Automotive Standard

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE

Why Implement 8D?

100%

Prevention of defect recurrence through systemic root cause elimination.

Combines quick containment with long-term process engineering changes.

FRAMEWORK ARCHITECTURE

The Eight Disciplines Overview

D1
Form Team

D2
Describe Problem

D3
Containment

D4
Root Cause

D5
Choose PCA

D6
Implement PCA

D7
Prevent Recurrence

D8
Recognize Team

PHASE 1: FOUNDATION

D1 & D2: Team & Problem Definition

D1

Form Cross-Functional Team

Assemble product, process, quality, and frontline experts with product knowledge.

D2

Describe Problem (5W2H)

Specify What, Where, When, Why, Who, How, and How Many with quantifiable data.

PHASE 2: PROTECTION

D3: Interim Containment Action (ICA)

Protect the Customer Immediately

Implement temporary, immediate isolation measures (e.g., sorting, 100% inspection, halting shipments) before root causes are verified.

PHASE 3: DEEP DIVE

D4: Root Cause & Escape Point

Occurrence Root Cause

Why did the failure or defect happen physically in the production/workflow process?

Escape Point

Why was the failure not detected before reaching the internal or external customer?

PHASE 4: RESOLUTION

D5 & D6: Choose & Validate PCAs

D5

Select & Verify PCAs

Test proposed solutions on a small scale to prove effectiveness without unwanted side effects.

D6

Implement & Validate

Roll out permanent changes, remove interim containment, and monitor long-term metrics.

PHASE 5: INSTITUTIONALIZATION

D7: Systemic Recurrence Prevention

Update SOPs

Modify standard operating procedures and control plans across operations.

Read Across

Apply changes to all similar product lines, processes, and operating units.

FMEA Updates

Update Process Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (PFMEA) documents.

PHASE 6: CLOSURE

D8: Congratulate Team & Share Knowledge



Acknowledge Collective Effort

Formally close the report, document lessons learned, and celebrate cross-functional team contributions.

METHODOLOGY COMPARISON

When to Use 8D vs. DMAIC vs. 5 Whys

Methodology	Complexity Level	Containment Focus	Ideal Context
8D Framework	Medium – High	Immediate (D3)	Critical defects, customer complaints
5 Whys Analysis	Low – Medium	None built-in	Single-path simple process issues
Six Sigma DMAIC	High / Statistical	Optional	Chronic variance, large datasets

QUALITY SAFEGUARDS

Pitfalls in 8D Execution

⚠ Confusing D3 with D6

Treating temporary sorting or inspection as permanent fixes.

⚠ Single-Person 8D

Writing the report individually without cross-functional team input.

⚠ Skipping D7 Standards

Fixing the immediate machine without updating overall engineering SOPs.

⚠ Vague Problem Statements

Lacking clear 5W2H metrics and baseline defect measurements.

METHODOLOGY TAKEAWAY

Discipline Drives Zero Defect Quality.

Transform critical quality failures into permanent operational strength using the 8D framework.

Launch 8D Problem Solving